

TeV Neutrinos from Companion Stars of Rapid-Rotating Neutron Stars

Shigehiro Nagataki

Department of Physics, School of Science, the University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

We have estimated the number flux of ν_μ 's which are produced due to the hadronic interactions between the cosmic rays coming from a neutron star and the matter in a companion star. The event rate at 1 km² detectors of high-energy neutrinos such as ICECUBE, ANTARES and NESTOR is also estimated to be 2.7×10^4 events yr⁻¹ when the source is located at 10 kpc away from the Earth. We have estimated the number of such a system and concluded that there will be several candidates in our galaxy. Taking these results into consideration, this scenario is promising and will be confirmed by the future observations.

95.85.Ry, 98.70.Sa, 95.85.Sz, 97.80.Hn

UTAP-409/02, hep-ph/0202243

Neutron stars have been suggested as possible sources of very high energy cosmic rays, including the ultra-high-energy cosmic rays whose energies are greater than 10²⁰eV (see Refs. [1] and references therein). It is generally considered that a magnetosphere is formed around a neutron star where the number density has the Goldreich-Julian value [2]. It is also pointed out that the conditions which lead to the conversion of most Poynting flux into kinetic energy flux in cold, relativistic hydromagnetic winds exist around the magnetosphere (i.e. the wind zone) [3].

On the other hand, it is considered that most of the normal stars are born in binaries. Observationally, it is reported that somewhere between 50 and 100% of the normal stars are in binaries [4]. Thus it is very natural to consider the situation that a binary is composed of a neutron star which emits high energy cosmic rays and a red super giant whose radius is $\sim 10^{14}$ cm. What happens if the high energy cosmic rays rush into the companion? This is the theme in this paper. It is noted that the wind from the supergiant is much weaker than the pulsar wind and should be blown off. Thus it is not necessary to consider the effects of interaction between the wind from the companion star and the pulsar wind.

The conclusion is as follows. Charged pions are produced due to the hadronic interactions between the cosmic rays and the stellar matter. Before losing their energies, the pions can decay into leptons, including high energy (TeV) ν_μ 's. The ν_μ 's can be detected by 1 km² detectors of high-energy neutrinos such as ICECUBE, ANTARES and NESTOR [5]. The expected event rate is 2.7×10^4 events yr⁻¹. Also, we have estimated the number of such a system and concluded that there will be several candidates in our galaxy. It is noted that high energy neutrinos from Cygnus X-3 were calculated on the basis of the binary model in some excellent papers [6], but the companion star was assumed to be a main-sequence star whose column density seems to be too high to produce high energy neutrinos (see Eq. 15). In this study, the companion star is assumed to be a red super giant so as to solve the difficulty and neutrino flux is estimated using the result of the theoretical acceleration model of

cosmic rays around the magnetosphere [1], [7]. Also, we estimate the number of candidates in our galaxy.

From now on, the energy spectrum of high energy cosmic rays is reconsidered. Then the energy loss processes for the cosmic rays and their daughters in a companion star are investigated. Next, the number flux of ν_μ 's at the Earth from a single source is estimated. Finally, the event rate and number of candidates are discussed.

Here the energy spectrum of high energy cosmic rays is estimated (see Ref. [1], [7] for details). The Goldreich-Julian value can be expressed as $n_{\text{GJ}}(r) = B(r)\Omega/(4\pi Zec)$, where $B(r)$ is the strength of the magnetic field, Ω is the angular velocity of the neutron star. It is assumed that the magnetic field in the magnetosphere is dominated by the dipole component and can be expressed as $B(r) = B_s(R_s/r)^3$, where B_s and R_s are the strength of the surface magnetic field and radius of the neutron star, respectively. In this study, we set $R_s = 10$ km throughout. The light cylinder radius can be expressed as $R_{\text{lc}} = 10^7 \Omega_{3k}^{-1}$ cm, where Ω_{3k} is $\Omega/3000$ rad s⁻¹. The typical energy of the accelerated cosmic rays E_{cr} can be estimated by assuming that the equipartition of energy is realized between the magnetic fields and cosmic rays ($E_{\text{cr}} = B(r)^2/8\pi n_{\text{GJ}}(r)$). At R_{lc} in the equatorial plane, E_{cr} can be written as

$$E_{\text{cr},0} = 1.5 \times 10^9 Z B_{s,12} \Omega_{3k}^2 \text{ GeV}, \quad (1)$$

where $B_{s,12}$ is $B_s/10^{12}$ Gauss. The strength magnetic field at (R_{lc}, h) in the cylindrical coordinate, where h is the height measured from the equatorial plane, can be expressed as $B(R_{\text{lc}}, h) \sim B((R_{\text{lc}}, 0)) \cos \alpha$, where $\cos \alpha = R_{\text{lc}}/(R_{\text{lc}}^2 + h^2)^{1/2}$. Thus E_{cr} depends on h and it can be expressed as $E_{\text{cr}}(h) \sim E_{\text{cr},0} \cos^3 \alpha$. Thus the energy spectrum of high energy cosmic rays from the light cylinder can be expressed as

$$\frac{dN}{dE_z dt} = \frac{1.1 \times 10^{35} B_{s,12} \Omega_{3k}^2}{Z (E_{\text{cr},0} E_z^2)^{1/3} [(E_{\text{cr},0}/E_z)^{2/3} - 1]^{1/2}}, \quad (2)$$

where E_z represents the energy of the cosmic rays whose charge number is Z . In this study, we consider two cases for the chemical composition of the cosmic rays, that is,

protons and irons. The chemical composition of the cosmic rays may be dominated by protons, which will be ejected from the companion star and the magnetosphere may be filled with the protons. On the other hand, the chemical composition of the cosmic rays may be dominated by irons, which will be ejected from the surface of the neutron star.

Since the energy spectrum of cosmic rays can be obtained, we consider the energy loss processes for the cosmic rays and their daughters in a companion star. At first, we assume that the chemical composition of the cosmic rays is dominated by protons. We consider the energy losses due to ionization, synchrotron radiation, pair production due to proton-electron interaction, photopion production, proton-proton interaction, respectively. In order to calculate the energy loss timescale, the conditions in the companion star has to be specified. Here we assume that the radius and temperature of the red super giant is 10^{14}cm and 3000K , respectively. Thus the number of photons in the star can be estimated as

$$n_\gamma = 5.4 \times 10^{11} \left(\frac{T}{3000\text{K}} \right)^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}. \quad (3)$$

The average baryon number density is used in this study for simplicity and is estimated as

$$n_B = 2.8 \times 10^{15} \left(\frac{M}{10M_\odot} \right) \left(\frac{10^{14}\text{cm}}{R_{\text{star}}} \right)^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}, \quad (4)$$

where R_{star} is the radius of the companion, M is the mass of the companion and $M_\odot$ is the solar mass.

Now we investigate the energy loss timescale. As for the energy loss rate due to coulomb interactions, collisions are dominated by the thermal electrons [8]. Thus the energy loss rate can be written approximately as

$$-\left(\frac{dE}{dt} \right) \sim \frac{30c\sigma_T m_e c^2 Z^2}{\beta} n_e \text{ eV s}^{-1}, \quad (5)$$

where σ_T is the Thomson cross section, β is the velocity of the cosmic ray divided by the light speed. Thus the energy loss timescale can be estimated as

$$t_{\text{ion}} \sim 3.2 \times 10^6 \frac{1}{Z^2} \left(\frac{E}{10^{15}\text{eV}} \right) \left(\frac{10^{15}\text{cm}^{-3}}{n_e} \right) \text{ s} \quad (6)$$

which is, as we show below, too large to be effective.

As for the synchrotron energy loss timescale, it can be written as

$$t_{p,\text{syn}} = \left(\frac{m_p}{m_e} \right)^4 \times t_{e,\text{syn}} \sim 1.1 \times 10^{13} t_{e,\text{syn}}, \quad (7)$$

where $t_{e,\text{syn}}$ is the synchrotron energy loss timescale for an electron and can be written as $t_{e,\text{syn}} = 3.9 \times 10 (1 \text{ GeV}/E) (10^2 \text{ G}/B)^2 \text{ s}$. Thus synchrotron energy loss is negligible.

As for the pair production process $p + \gamma \rightarrow p + e^- + e^+$, the energy loss timescale can be written as

$$t_{\text{pair}} = \frac{\pi}{16c} \frac{m_p}{m_e} \frac{1}{\alpha r_e^2} \left(\frac{c\hbar}{kT} \right)^3 \left(\frac{m_e c^2}{\Gamma kT} \right)^2 \exp \left(\frac{m_e c^2}{\Gamma kT} \right) \quad (8)$$

$$\sim 3.1 \times 10^{19} \left(\frac{1\text{GeV}}{E_p} \right)^2 \exp \left[10^6 \left(\frac{2\text{GeV}}{E_p} \right) \right] \text{ s}, \quad (9)$$

where α is the fine-structure constant, r_e is the classical electron radius, Γ is the lorentz factor of proton and temperature is assumed to be 3000K . This timescale is too large to be effective in the energy range where we are interested in.

As for the photopion process, the Δ -resonance occurs at [9]

$$\epsilon_p = 2 \times 10^8 \left(\frac{1 \text{ eV}}{\epsilon_\gamma} \right) \text{ GeV}. \quad (10)$$

At the Δ -resonance, the cross section becomes about $5 \times 10^{-28} \text{ cm}^2$ [10]. Even if this value is adopted, the interaction length for this process can be estimated as

$$l_{p\gamma} = \frac{1}{5 \times 10^{-28} n_\gamma} \sim 3.7 \times 10^{15} \left(\frac{3000\text{K}}{T} \right)^3 \text{ cm}. \quad (11)$$

Thus energy loss timescale due to photopion production is

$$t_{p\gamma} \sim l_{p\gamma}/c = 1.2 \times 10^5 \left(\frac{3000\text{K}}{T} \right)^3 \text{ s}. \quad (12)$$

On the other hand, the cross section of pion production due to proton-proton interaction is about 50mb [10]. Thus the energy loss timescale due to proton-proton interaction is

$$t_{pp} \sim \frac{1}{\sigma_{pp} n_B c} \sim 2.4 \times 10^{-1} \left(\frac{10M_\odot}{M} \right) \left(\frac{R_{\text{star}}}{10^{14}\text{cm}} \right)^3 \text{ s}. \quad (13)$$

This timescale is much shorter than the other timescales. Also, the interaction length $l_{pp} = ct_{pp}$ is much shorter than the radius of the companion.

From the above discussion, we conclude that the dominant energy loss process is proton-proton interaction and charged pions are produced due to the interactions. The high energy protons lose $\sim 50\%$ of their energies per each interaction. Here we have to investigate whether these pions can decay into leptons before losing their energy. If they can, the leptons also energetic and the energy of each neutrino is about 25% of the pion's energy. Since the lifetime of a charged pion in its rest frame is $2.6 \times 10^{-8} \text{ s}$, the observed lifetime is

$$t_{\pi^+} = 1.8 \times 10^{-1} \left(\frac{E}{10^{15} \text{ eV}} \right) \text{ s}. \quad (14)$$

On the other hand, the cross section of proton-pion interaction is about 30mb [10]. Thus the energy loss timescale due to this interaction can be estimated as

$$t_{p\pi^+} \sim \frac{1}{\sigma_{p\pi} n_{BC}} \sim 4.0 \times 10^{-1} \left(\frac{10M_\odot}{M} \right) \left(\frac{R_{\text{star}}}{10^{14}\text{cm}} \right)^3 \text{ s.} \quad (15) \quad R = 3 \times 10^{17} \left(\frac{d}{1 \text{ km}} \right)^2 \int_{2\text{GeV}} dE_\nu 2 \frac{2\pi}{4\pi} f(E_\nu) P(E_\nu) \quad (19)$$

Thus, charged pions with energy below $\sim 2 \times 10^{15}$ eV can decay into leptons before losing their energy.

We also check the opacity of the companion star for the high energy neutrinos. The neutrino-nucleon total cross section can be written approximately as $\sigma_{\nu,N} \sim 5 \times 10^{-39} (E_\nu/1 \text{ GeV}) \text{ cm}^2$ [11]. Thus the average number of interaction for a single neutrino in the companion star can be estimated as

$$l_{\nu,N} = 1.4 \times 10^{-9} \left(\frac{M}{10M_\odot} \right) \left(\frac{10^{14}\text{cm}}{R_{\text{star}}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{E_\nu}{1\text{GeV}} \right). \quad (16)$$

From this estimate, we can regard that the neutrinos can escape from the companion freely.

Now we can estimate the number flux of ν_μ 's [$\text{s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$] at the Earth from a single source. It is assumed that the source is located at 10 kpc away from the Earth ($D = 10\text{kpc}$). The expected number flux is ($E_\nu \leq 5 \times 10^{14} \text{ eV}$)

$$f(E_\nu) = \frac{1}{4\pi D^2} \frac{dN_\nu}{dE_\nu dt} \Big|_{E_\nu} = \frac{N^2(E_p)}{4\pi D^2} \frac{12dN_p}{dt dE_p} \Big|_{E_p} \quad (17)$$

$$= 8.5 \times 10^{-17} \left(\frac{10\text{kpc}}{D} \right)^2 B_{12}^{1/3} \Omega_{3k}^{2/3} Z^{-5/3} \frac{N^2(E_p)}{E_p^{1/3}}, \quad (18)$$

where $N(E_p) = 0.61 + 0.56 \ln(1.88E_p) + 0.129 \ln^2(1.88E_p)$ is mean value of the charged-particle multiplicity as a function of $\sqrt{s}$ [12]. It is noted that the mean energy of ν_μ can be written as $E_p/12N(E_p)$ when the average number of π^0 , π^+ and π^- produced per one inelastic interaction is same with each other. As discussed above, there may be a cut-off around $E_\nu \sim 5 \times 10^{14} \text{ eV}$ due to the interaction of the charged pions and stellar matter. Of course, such a cut-off energy strongly depends on the radius of the companion (see Eq. 15). The neutral pions produced by pp collision decay into gamma-rays, which may also produce high energy pions through the $p\gamma$ interactions. Thus the present estimation for the flux of neutrinos will be a lower limit. Detailed Monte Carlo calculation is needed to obtain the precise flux of neutrinos, which is now underway and is presented in the forthcoming paper. It is also noted that the high energy gamma-rays can not penetrate the companion star and lose their energy by interactions with the matter in the companion.

Finally, the event rate for a single source at 1 km^2 detectors of high-energy neutrinos is estimated. The probability that a muon neutrino will produce a high-energy upward moving muon with energy above 2 GeV in a terrestrial detector is approximately given by the ratio of the muon range to the neutrino mean free path [13]. The result can be fitted as $P(E_\nu) = 1.3 \times 10^{-9} (E_\nu/1 \text{ TeV})^\beta$ where $\beta = 2$ for $E_\nu \leq 1 \text{ TeV}$ and $\beta = 1$ for $E_\nu \geq 1 \text{ TeV}$. Thus the expected event rate R [yr^{-1}] is (over $2\pi \text{ sr}$)

$$= 2.7 \times 10^4 \left(\frac{d}{1 \text{ km}} \right)^2 B_{12}^{1/3} \Omega_{3k}^{2/3} Z^{-5/3} \text{ events yr}^{-1}, \quad (20)$$

where d is the diameter of the detector. The factor 2 in the integral is introduced to count in both of contributions from ν_μ 's and $\bar{\nu}_\mu$'s. Strictly speaking, the factor ($5.6/4\pi \sim 0.45$; see Eq. (27)) which takes into account the solid angle measured from the companion star should be multiplied in Eq. (20). Since the number of detected atmospheric neutrino background by the planned neutrino telescopes whose angular resolution θ is about 1° is estimated as $N_{\text{bkg}} \sim 10 (\theta/1\text{deg})^2 \text{ km}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ [14], the signal from the companion star will be easily detected.

We add a comment on the chemical composition of the high energy cosmic rays. In the above discussions, the chemical composition is assumed to be proton. In the case that the chemical composition is iron, we have to estimate the fragmentation timescale. There is an empirical formula for the total inelastic cross-section of protons on nuclei with mass number $A \geq 1$ [15]. According to the formula, the cross section can be written as $\sigma_{\text{tot}} = 45A^{0.7} [1 + 0.016 \sin(5.3 - 2.63 \ln A)] \text{ mb}$. Thus the fragmentation timescale can be estimated as $\tau_f = 3.8 \times 10^{-2} (56/A)^{0.7} (10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}/n_B) \text{ s}$. This timescale is shorter than the proton-proton interaction timescale (see Eq. 13). Even if the chemical composition is composed of deuterium ($A = 2$), the fragmentation timescale is comparable with the proton-proton interaction timescale as long as $n_B \sim 3 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. Thus we can conclude that the fragmentation occurs at first when the cosmic rays rush into the companion star and subsequent reactions are same with the case in which chemical composition of the cosmic rays is proton. As for the number flux of nucleus after the fragmentation, it will be $(A/Z)^{5/3} \sim 3.2$ times larger than the proton case (see Eq. 2). Thus the expected event rate of high energy neutrinos will be also enhanced by a factor of ~ 3.2 .

When the neutrino mixing angles are really large [16], the fluxes of ν_μ 's and $\bar{\nu}_\mu$'s will fall off by a factor of 3. In this case, ν_τ 's might be detected as the double bang events [17].

We also present a rough estimate for the number of candidates (N) in our galaxy. It may be expressed in the form

$$N = \tau \times \text{SNR} \times f \times \left(\frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} \right), \quad (21)$$

where τ is the duration [yr] for a source to emit the high-energy ν_μ 's, SNR is the supernova rate [yr^{-1}] in our galaxy at present, f is the probability that a massive star which forms a neutron star at its death has a companion whose mass is above $\sim 8M_\odot$, $d\Omega$ is the average solid angle of a companion star measured from the neutron star.

The duration τ will be given as $\tau = \min(\tau_{\text{star}}, \tau_{\text{NS}})$, where τ_{star} is the lifetime of the massive companion star ($\sim 10^6 \text{ yr}$) and τ_{NS} is the duration in which the neutron star can emit the high energy cosmic rays. From Eq. 14, the energy of the cosmic rays should be greater than $\sim 10^{16} \text{ eV}$ in order to produce much energetic neutrinos. On the other hand, the angular velocity of a neutron star decreases as

$$\Omega(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{\Omega_i^2} + \frac{B_s^2 R_s^6}{3c^3 I} t}} \text{ rad s}^{-1}, \quad (22)$$

where Ω_i is the initial angular velocity and $I \sim 10^{45} \text{ g cm}^2$ is the inertial moment of a neutron star. From Eq. 1, $E_{\text{cr},0}$ becomes $\sim 10^{16} \text{ eV}$ when $B_{s,12} = 1$ and $\Omega_{3k} = 0.1$. Thus, if Ω_i is much larger than 0.1, τ_{NS} can be estimated as

$$\tau_{\text{NS}} \sim 3 \times 10^4 \left(\frac{10^{12} \text{ G}}{B_s} \right)^2 \text{ yr}, \quad (23)$$

which is shorter than τ_{star} . Thus it is concluded that $\tau = \tau_{\text{NS}}$.

The present SNR is about 10^{-2} yr^{-1} [18]. As for f , since most of the normal stars are considered to be born in binaries [4], we can estimate this value only by using the salpeter's initial mass function. The result can be written as [19]

$$f = \frac{\int_8^{125} dm m^{-2.35}}{\int_{0.5}^{125} dm m^{-2.35}} = 2.4 \times 10^{-2}. \quad (24)$$

Finally, the average solid angle of a companion star measured from the neutron star is estimated. Although there is much uncertainty, Popova et al. (1982) discussed that the distribution of the distance (a) between the binary stars may obey the relation as follows:

$$\frac{dN}{da} = \frac{A}{a} \text{ cm}^{-1} \quad (10R_\odot \leq a \leq 10^6 R_\odot), \quad (25)$$

where $A = (5 \ln 10)^{-1}$ is the normalization factor and $R_\odot$ is the solar radius. Since the radius of the companion is assumed to be 10^{14} cm , the opening angle θ can be estimated as $\sin \theta = 10^{14}/a$ as long as a is greater than 10^{14} cm . The solid angle can be estimated as

$$d\Omega = \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^\theta \sin \theta d\theta = 2\pi \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{10^{28}}{a^2} \right)^{1/2} \right]. \quad (26)$$

In case $a \leq 10^{14} \text{ cm}$, we assume that the solid angle is 4π . We can easily show by Eqs. (6)-(13) that the dominant energy loss process is still proton-proton interaction within $\tau \sim \tau_{\text{NS}}$ even if the pulsar wind blows off the envelope of the companion star. Now we can estimate the mean solid angle of a companion measured from the neutron star. It can be calculated as

$$d\Omega = \int_{10R_\odot}^{10^{14}} 4\pi \frac{dN}{da} da \quad (27)$$

$$+ \int_{10^{14}}^{10^6 R_\odot} 2\pi \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{10^{28}}{a^2} \right)^{1/2} \right] \frac{dN}{da} da \sim 5.6$$

At last, we can estimate the number of candidates (N) in our galaxy. It is written as

$$N \sim 3 \times 10^4 \times 10^{-2} \times 2.4 \times 10^{-2} \times \frac{5.6}{4\pi} = 3.2. \quad (28)$$

We have estimated the number flux of ν_μ 's which are produced due to the hadronic interactions between the cosmic rays coming from a neutron star and the matter in a companion star. The event rate at 1 km^2 detectors of high-energy neutrinos such as ICECUBE, ANTARES and NESTOR is also estimated to be $2.7 \times 10^4 \text{ events yr}^{-1}$ when the source is located at 10 kpc away from the Earth. Finally, we have estimated the number of such a system and concluded that there will be several candidates in our galaxy. Taking these results into consideration, this scenario is very promising and will be confirmed by the future observations.

-
- [1] P. Blasi, R. I. Epstein and A. V. Olinto *Astrophys. J.* **533**, L123 (2000)
 - [2] P. Goldreich and W. H. Julian *Astrophys. J.* **157**, 869 (1969)
 - [3] M. C. Begelman and Z.-Y. Li *Astrophys. J.* **426**, 269 (1994)
 - [4] H. A. Abt *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **21**, 343 (1983)
 - [5] F. Halzen, *astro-ph/9904216*
 - [6] E. W. Kolb, M. S. Turner and P. Walker *Phys. Rev. D* **32**, 1145 (1985); T. Stanev, K. Gaisser and F. Halzen *Phys. Rev. D* **32**, 1244 (1985); V. S. Berezinsky, C. Castagnoli and P. Galeotti *Astrophys. J.* **301**, 235 (1986)
 - [7] J. H. Beall and W. Bednarek *astro-ph/0108447*
 - [8] K. R. Lang *Astrophysical Formulae* (Springer, Berlin, 1978)
 - [9] E. Waxman and J. N. Bahcall *Astrophys. J.* **541**, 707 (2000)
 - [10] See, e.g. <http://pdg.lbl.gov/> (PDG).
 - [11] C. Quigg, M. H. Reno and T. P. Walker *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57**, 774 (1986)
 - [12] A. Breakstone et al. *Phys. Rev. D* **30** 528 (1984)
 - [13] T. Gaisser, F. Halzen and T. Stanev *Phys. Rep.* **258**, 173 (1995)
 - [14] A. Levinson and E. Waxman *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 171101 (2001)
 - [15] J. R. Letaw, R. Silberberg and C. H. Tsao *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **51**, 271 (1983)
 - [16] Y. Fukuda, et al. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 1562 (1998)
 - [17] H. Athar, G. Parente and E. Zas *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 093010 (2000); D. Fargion, *astro-ph/0002453*.
 - [18] C. Porciani and P. Madau *Astrophys. J.* **548**, 522 (2001)
 - [19] P. Madau and L. Pozzetti *Monthly Notices Roy. Astron. Soc.* **312**, L9 (2000)
 - [20] E. I. Popova, A. V. Tutukov and L. R. Yungelson *Astrophys. Space Science* **88**, 55 (1982)